

1

2

3

4
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

6

I INSIGHT ENTERPRISES, INC., No. C-08-03573 JCS

7

Plaintiff(s),

8

v.

NOTICE OF IMPENDING
REASSIGNMENT TO A UNITED
STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE


9

INSIGHT COMMUNICATIONS CO.,

10
Defendant(s).
1112
The Clerk of this Court will now randomly reassign this case to a United States District
13 Judge because either:14
[X] One or more of the parties has requested reassignment to a United States District Judge
15 or has not consented to the jurisdiction of a United States Magistrate Judge, or
16
[] One or more of the parties has sought a type of judicial action (e.g., a temporary
17 restraining order) that a United States Magistrate Judge may not take without the consent of all
18 parties, the necessary consents have not been secured, and time is of the essence.19
ALL HEARING DATES PRESENTLY SCHEDULED BEFORE MAGISTRATE JUDGE
20 SPERO ARE VACATED AND SHOULD BE RE-NOTICED FOR HEARING BEFORE THE
21 JUDGE TO WHOM THIS CASE HAS BEEN REASSIGNED..

22

23 Dated: August 11, 2008

Richard W. Wieking, Clerk
United States District Court24
25
26
27 By: Karen L. Hom
Deputy Clerk
28

cc: Intake